Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/790,298	PHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Abul Kalam	2814	

	Abul Kalam	2814		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FINOT KEFLT WAS FIL	ED WITHIN 1440	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•		
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explan how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: see the last office action of record.				
Claim(s) rejected: see the last office action of record. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Theresa T. Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2814		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. First, Applicant argues that Dhindsa "does not teach or suggest including the thermoelectric sturctures into the integraed circuit in order to prevent formation of hot-spots as recited in the subject claims." The argument is not persuasive because such a limitation is not recited in the claims. With respect to the Salika reference (col. 6, Ins. 15-266), Applicant argues that "the depassage makes no reference to a thermoelectric structure having a distribution of line patterns that is denser towards center of its structure and decreases in density towards outer limits of the structure are recited in independent claim 1. The argument is not persuasive because the primary reference Dhindsa already teaches a distribution of line patterns as shown in Figs. 2a and 2b. Furthermore, the term "line patterns" is so broad that the distribution of the tructures 12, as shown in Fig. 5 of Salika, can also be considered, the patterns is so broad that the density towards outer limits of the structures 14 as shown in Fig. 5 of Salika, can also such as the distribution of line patterns, since the structures 12 are formed in rows or lines. Furthermore, Salika states that these line patterns are denser toward the center and decreases in the density towards outer limits of the structure (Petiler devices 12 are disposed such that the installation density hereof becomes more sparse as it goes form the inner perpheral contour portion 11b to the outer peripheral countour portion 11a;" col. 5, Ins. 20-